G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

Reserve

1917-18

(Courts)

File No. 96-17

Serial Nos. 1 to 8

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 8

Part B for January 1918

SUBJECT.

Memorial of Patel Nanjundappa and two others praying for the remission of the sentence passed on them in Cr. appeal no 177-16-17 on the file of the Sessions Judge, Mysore

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Mr Abdur Rahman
22.1.1918

958

G. R. S. [illegible]—1] 1917

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Cant5)

NOTES.

File No. 96-17

FILE HEADING.

Memorial of Patel Nanjundappa and two others praying for the remission of the sentence passed on them in Crl appeal no. 17 of 16-17 on the file of the Sessions Judge, Mysore.

From

The Private Secretary to H.H. the Maharaja

No. 1912 Dated 20/10/17 Received 22/10/17

Diary No. 958	Enclos. 5 Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards for disposal memorials from Nanjundappa, Basalingappa & Basappadevaru praying for the remission of the sentence of 3 months rigorous imprisonment & fine of Rs 50 passed on them by the Mysore Sessions Judge in Crl appeal no. 17 of 16-17 and confirmed by the Chief Court.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Submitted for orders. The formalities prescribed in Rule 412 (1) & (2) of the Jail Manual do not have not been observed and it is not clear if memorials addressed to H.H. are exempt from those formalities. The Nanjangud Divl Magts judgment copy is not also sent.

Asst

NOTES—First Sheet. [illegible]

WD 1232—GPB—20,000—18-11-16

1083

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C5 File No. 96 of 1917.

Serial No.

2) The Rule might have been drawn by the Jail authorities in the letter communicating the decision of Govt. for the execution of the sentence which the Rule. Please put up draft letter Registering calling for the records.

Please note

Eg
9/11.

3) Subd with draft.

Asst
9/11

4) Done

Eg
9-11

2 To The Registrar, Chief Court
no 9580 dy 10/11/17.
Calls for the records of the Chief Court & of the Lower Courts

3 From The Registrar, Chief Court
no 255 dy 14.11.17
Forwards the records

Subd.
Asst
16/11

5) The facts of the case have been clearly set out in the Majistrate's judgment. There were two factions in the village of Dhokawadi & Narasipura Daluq. The factions were due to the fact that Nanjunda Muley complainant gave away his house to the complainant in regard of his claims against one Channaveeranna whom he had kept as his Cumbine but subsequently

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1083

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | 5 File No. 96 of 1917.

Serial No.

discarded. The accused belong to the discarded Chenchu's party. The accused are said to have proceeded in a body armed with clubs, spears etc to the house in question, and to have demanded delivery to Chanaveramma. As Maria failed to comply with his request, he, his father & brother are said to have been beaten by the accused. A complaint was lodged, but the S.I. filed a report. The complainant undertook to prove his case, and the Magistrate also was not satisfied with the investigation by the S.I.

6) The Navy appeal to the Magistrate & he tried the case found the accused guilty, and his conviction was affirmed on appeal though the sentence was reduced by the ~~District~~ Sessions Judge. The accused presented a revision petition to this Court, but it was rejected.

7) As found by the 2 Courts, there is ample evidence on record to show that the accused went armed with clubs etc, and asked Maria to deliver possession, and on his failure to comply with their request, they all beat him, his father & brother. There are no good grounds for disbelieving the evidence. No reasons have been urged ~~for~~ for showing clemency in the case.

8) If approved, the petition will be rejected.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Asst. Member 9/11/17 J. Cooper 19/11

20/11/17 Secy 10 Draft 20/11

1053

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

A File No. 96 of 1917

Serial No.	
	Submitted with a draft of the D. of Prisons rejecting the memorial, and another of the Registrar, chief Court, returning the records. ASR 21/11/17
	Dsng 28/11/17

P. T. O.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

12) I do not usual to communicate orders through whether there is no change made in this case. Does the new Jail Manual prescribe any departure from the practice?

GS

21/9

Subd

13) Prior to the issue of the new Jail Manual (about July last), all memorials (including those for remission of sentences of imprisonment) were being received through the Registrar, Chief Court, to whom orders in appeal cases were being communicated.

14) Even now memorials in cases of sentences of transportation for life and death, are being received through the Chief Court as before.

Form Such files are put up below
- 5758-16
- 169-16
- 180-16
- 190-16

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

W.D. 84—GPB—60,000—20-7-16

In regard to memorials for remission of sentences of imprisonment, there have been only two such cases (including the present one) since July. In the first case, CS 31-17, 1952, the memorial was received through the Inspector General of Prisons, and the order of Govt was communicated to that office. No intimation was sent to the Chief Court.

2. The provisions of the Jail Manual relating to the subject are contained in Rules 411 to 415 and 703 to 706. Under the last rule, petition from a condemned prisoner is required to be sent to Govt through the Chief Court. There is no such provision in regard to other petitions.

Flag
IV
CS 31-17, 85 1-4

Subd for orders
AAL
24/11

1285

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C6 File No. 96 of 1917.

Serial No.	
4	To The Dy Sprisons no J1807 Dy 28-11-17 States that Govt see no grounds to remit the sentence that the memorials are accordingly rejected & points out that the formalities prescribed in the Jail Manual have not been observed in the case.
5	To The Registrar, Chief Court no J1808 Dy 28-11-17 Forwards copy of the above for information and returns the records received with 8/ no. 3
6	From The Dy Sprisons no. 1806/30-09 Dy 5-12-17 Requests that the memorials of Ranjundappa, Basalingappa & Basappadevaru may be may be sent to him for reference and return.
	17/ Subd with a draft complying Sd/ 8/12
	18/ Issue Es 8/12
7	To The S. P. & Prisons no J2035 11th Dec 1917 Forwards for reference and return the memorials of Patel Ranjundappa and others.

Draft 13/12/17

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1472

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C5 File No. 96 of 1917.

Serial No. 8

From — the D.G. of Prisons
no. 2/30-09 dt 2.1.18.
Returns the memorials sent with 81-no.7

19/ Submitted. No further action is necessary in the case. It is however suggested for consideration whether, in view of the notes in paras 12 to 16 supra, Rule 412 (2) of the new Jail Manual may not be amended by the addition of 'through the Chief Court' at the end. Otherwise in every such case coming up from the D.G. of Prisons direct, records will have to be called for first from the Chief Court and then further action taken.

ASR 1/11 VLL

20/ Not necessary. GS

GS. 7/

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

DIARY No. 1958

19-10-18

SECTION Cons

FILE No. 96-17

SERIAL No. 17

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

No. 1912
1 month limit

H. & DEV. DEPT.
22 OCT 1917

Petition, as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja is transferred to the Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, — ~~Department~~, Bangalore, for disposal

No. 356 of 17-18

By Order,

Private Secretary
to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GBPM—1,000—28-10-16.



To

H I S H I G H N E S S

Sree Krishnarajendra Wodayar Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,

M A H A R A J A OF M Y S O R E.

The humble memorial of Patel Nanjundappa, now in the Mysore Jail undergoing sentence of rigorous imprisonment:

Most Respectfully Showeth:

That the memorialist abovenamed humbly and respectfully begs to submit the following for favourable consideration praying that justice may be done.

1. That the memorialist was convicted and sentenced to rigorous imprisonment and fine under Sec. 147 I.P.C., by the First Class Sub Division Magistrate of Nanjangud in Criminal Case No. 50 of 15-16.

2. That the case for prosecution is that on 25-11-1915 at 4 P.M. that the memorialist and 15 others were sitting and talking at the Jangam Mutt and of those Vurathiah and Chikka Putta assaulted the complainant Mariah of Thottavadi at Putta-Nanjappa's house.

3. That the Police investigated the case on 25-1-1916 and submitted a B sheet but the Taluk Magistrate at the request of the complainant took the case on file which was subsequently transferred to the First Class Magistrate of Nanjangud who tried the case convicted the memorialist and others in the said case.

5. That the memorialist then appealed to the District Judge against the said conviction and sentence on the following among other grounds:-

(a) That the prosecution has failed to establish any unlawful common object on the part of the memorialist or the use of force or violence in pursuance of the common object.

(b) That the evidence for prosecution is interested, discrepant, unreliable and utterly insufficient to justify the conviction of the memorialist.

(c) That the charge framed against the memorialist is indefinite and defective.

(d) That the present complaint has been filed by way of a counter move to ward off the consequences of the high-handed action which formed the subject matter of the charge cheet presented by the Police against the complainant and his partisans.

(e) That the Lower Court has not determind the individual liability of each of the accused person.

(f) That the nature of the injuries, the failure to produce the alleged weapons, the existence of fatious spirit the serious variations in the statements of the Prosecution witnesses before the Police and the Lower Court and that B. sheet submitted by the Police are sufficient to throw considerable doubt on the bona fides of the complainant.

(g) That the evidence adduced by the defence has been discredited on insufficient grounds and the use of the evidence of the counter case for purposes of this case is not legally justifiable or proper.

(h) That the conviction is not warranted by the evidence on records and the sentences are unduly severe.

(i) That the learned District Judge although finds in

para 4 of his judgment as follows:- "No doubt the prosecution evidence is not very strong as regards their assemblage in the Jangam Mutt" still confirmed the conviction but in modification of the sentence to 3 months imprisonment.

5. That the memorialist's application under Sec.435 Cr. P.C. to the Chief Court praying that the proceedings of the Sessions Judge confirming the conviction but modifying the sentence passed by the Sub Division Magistrate may be revised, was dismissed on the 28th September last.

6. That the memorialist submits that he has not committed any offence.

W H E R E F O R E THE MEMORIALIST PRAYS that Your Highness may be graciously pleased under the circumstances abovementicned to acquit him of the charge and release him from the Jail.

The memorialist begs to remain,

Your Highness' most obedient & humble servant,

ಶೀಲ್ದಾರ ಕೇಸರಿಂಡಿಪ್ಪೆ ಪರಿಯಪ್ಪು ಸಹಿ: ಸಿ-7734

15/10/19

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT 9/11

Diary No. of letter replied to J 958

Section Court.
File No. 96-17
Serial No. 2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
(Courts)
, the *191* .

No. J 1590

Date of issue 10.11.17

Signed by W. G. Srinivasa

To

The Registrar, Chief Court:

Sir,

I am directed to request you will be so good as to forward, for reference and return, the records relating to criminal Revision Petition no 11 of 17-18 and criminal Petition no 5 of 17-18 on the file of the Chief Court, including those of the lower courts.

I have &c.

Esq 11
for Chief Secy

over.

9/11

URGENT.

No. 2535 Enc: 3 papers.

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE.

DIARY No. 2108 3

Bangalore, the 14th November 1917.

1917-18 18
SECTION A
FILE No. 96-17
SERIAL No. 3

14 NOV 1917

From

N.T. GOPALIENGAR, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,

Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore

Bangalore

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
16 NOV 1917
JUDICIAL

To

C.S. BALASUNDARAM IYER, ESQ., B.A.,

Chief Secretary to the

Government of Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your No. J.1590 - Cts.96-17-2 dated 10th instant, I am directed to forward the marginally noted records and to request that they may be returned when done with.

1. Cr.Rev.Pet.No.11 & Cr.Pet.No.5, of 1917-18 on the file of the Chief Court.
2. Mysore Cr.Appeal No.17 of 1916-17 on the file of the Sessions Judge of the Mysore Division.
3. Cr.Case No.50 of 1915-16 on the file of the Sub Division Magistrate of Nanjangud.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

N. Gopalaiengar

REGISTRAR.

D.L.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to...J. 1083

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
(Courts)
, *the* 191 .

Date of issue.....28/11/17.....

Signed by.....W.G. Srinivasa.....

Section Courts
File No. 96-17
Serial No. 4

No. J 1807 Encls

To

The Dy. Jr. Prisons in Mysore

Sir,

With reference to the memorials dt. 15.10.17 addressed to H.H. the Maharaja from Patel Nanjundappa, Basalingappa and Basappadevaru now in the Mysore Jail, praying for the remission of the sentence of 3 months' rigorous imprisonment and fine of Rs 50 passed on them by the Mysore Sessions Judge in criminal Appeal no 17 of 16-17 and confirmed by the Chief Court,

over.

I am directed to state that Govt see no grounds to remit the sentence referred to and that the memorials are accordingly rejected. I am also to point out that the formalities prescribed in Rule 412 (1) & (2) of the Jail Manual have not been observed in the case.

3. The copies of ~~that~~ judgments received with the memorials are herewith returned.

I have
&
21/11
For Chief Secy

App
21/11

List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

WD 86—GPB—60,000—15-11-16

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to J1083 Cr Cases

Section Cr Cases
File No. 96-17
Serial No. 5

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
(Cr Cases)
, *the* 191 .

No. J 1808 Enclosures 3 Records

Date of issue 28/11/17

Signed by M. G. Srinivasa

To

The Registrar, Chief Court

Sir,

I am directed to return herewith the marginally noted records received with your letter no 255 of 14.11.17 Cr. A copy of letter no J 1809 of 28/11/17 from the Dy. C. of Prisons rejecting the memorials of Narayanappa & two others Convicts in the Mysore Jail is also forwarded for information.

I have

over.

for Chief Secy

(T) 1. Records in Cr Revn Pet no 11 of 1917 no 5 of 1917-18 on the file of the Chief Court

2. -do- Mysore Criminal appeal no 17 of 1916-17 on the file of the Sessions Judge Mysore Dist

3 -do- Cr. Case no 50 of 1916-16 on the file of the Sub Dist Magte of Nanjangud -

28/11/17

AM 21/11

WD 05—GPB—500—11-4-16

Pris. 2]

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF PRISONS IN MYSORE,

No. 1806/30-09

Dated Bangalore, 5th December 1917.

DIARY No. 2412

FROM Rajakaryapravina Rao Bahadur,
T. V. Arumugam Mudaliar, Esquire, M.B. C.M.,
Inspector-General of Prisons in Mysore,
Bangalore.

RECEIVED 10 DEC 1917 96-17 6

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esquire, B.A.,
Chief *Secy. to the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,*
Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. J.1807/Cts.96-17-4 - dated 28th November 1917, I have the honor to request that you will be so good as to send to this office, for reference and - return, the memorial addressed to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore by Patel Nanjundappa, Basalingappa and Basappadevaru who are now prisoners confined in the District Jail, Mysore.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Inspector-General of Prisons.
(the Inspr-Genl is on tour)

5 DEC 1917

FROM

THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF
PRISONS IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.
~~DEPARTMENT~~

Dated 5th December 17.
Despatched

Received

General No. 1806

No. 30-09

Enclosure No.

SUBJECT

Requests to send for reference & return, the Memorial addressed to His Highness the Maharaja by convicts Nanjundappa & others of the Mysore gail.

5-12-1917

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
III
JUDICIAL
6 DEC 1917

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to....J.1285.....

Section Courts
File No. 96-17
Serial No. 1

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
(Courts .)
, *the* 191 .

No. J 2035
Encls 3

Date of issue....11-12-1917.....

To

Signed by....Sd/- G. Srinivasa Iyer

The Dy. of Prisons in Mysore

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith for reference and return, the memorials of Patel Ranjundappa, Basalingappa and Basappadevaru, prisoners in the Mysore District Jail, as requested in your letter no. 1806/30-09 dy. 5-12-1917.

Papers under disposal

I have &c.
Sd/- 8/12
for Chief Secy

Asst 8/12

over.

Sd/- 10/12

Pris. 3]



No. 2/30-09 Cons 3

Office of the Inspr.-Gen. of Prisons in Mysore,

Dated Bangalore, 2nd January 1918.

FROM Rajakaryapravina Rao Bahadur,
T. V. Arumugam Mudaliar, Esquire, M.B., C.M.,
Inspector-General of Prisons
in Mysore, Bangalore.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esquire, B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of H H the Maharaja of
Mysore.

SIR,

I have the honor to return herewith the memorials of Patel Nanjundappa, Basalingappa and Basappadevaru, prisoners - of the Mysore Jail, which were sent with your letter No. J.2035/ Cts 96-17-7 dated 11th December 1917.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

T V Arumugam

Inspector-General of Prisons.

191 8

FROM

THE INSPR.-GEN. OF PRISONS

IN MYSORE, BANGALORE.

To

The Chief Secy to Govt

Bangalore

Dated 24th January 1918.

Despatched

Received 1838

General No. 2

No. 30-09

Enclosure No. 3

Returns the Memorials of Ranjundappa & two others, Convicts of the Mysore gaol.

CEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
III
JUDICIAL
3 JAN 1918

GPB—300—9-6-14

To

H I S H I G H N E S S

Sree Krishnarajendra Wodayar Bahadur, G.C.S.I.,

M A H A R A J A OF M Y S O R E

The humble memorial of Basalingappa, first accused, now in the Mysore Jail undergoing sentence of Rigorous Imprisonment,

Respectfully Showeth:

That the memorialist abovenamed humbly and respectfully beg to submit the following for favourable consideration praying that justice may be done.

1. That the memorialist was convicted and sentenced to rigorous imprisonment and fine under Sec.147 I.P.C., by the First Class Sub Division Magistrate of Nanjangud in Criminal Case No.50 of 1915-16.

2. That the case for prosecution is that on 25-11-15 at 4 P.M. that the memorialist and 15 others were sitting and talking at the Jangam Mutt and of those Vurathiah and Chikka Putta assaulted the complainant Mariah of Thottavadi at Putta-Nanjappa's house.

3. That the Police Investigated the case and on 25-1-16 submitted B.Sheet but the Taluk Magistrate at the request of the complainant took the case on file which was subsequently transferred to the First Class Magistrate of Nanjangud who tried the case convicted the memorialist and others in the said case.

4. That the memorialist then appealed to the District

Judge against the said conviction and sentence on the following among other grounds:

(a) That the prosecution has failed to establish any unlawful common object on the part of the Memorialist or the use of force or violence in pursuance of the common object.

(b) That the evidence for the prosecution is interested, discrepant, unreliable and utterly insufficient to justify the conviction of the memorialist.

(c) That the charge framed against the memorialist is indefinite and defective.

(d) That the present complaint has been filed by way of a counter-move to ward off the consequences of the high handed action which formed the subject matter of the charge sheet presented by the Police againt the complainant and his partisans.

(e) That the Lower Court has not determind the individual liability of each of the accused person.

(f) That the nature of the injuries, the failure to produce the alleged weapons, the existence of factions spirit, the serious variations in the statement of Prosecution witnesses before the Police and the Lower Court and that B sheet submitted by the Police are sufficient to throw considerable doubt on the bona fides of the complainant.

(g) That the evidence adduced by the defenceh has been discredited on insufficient grounds and the use of the evidence of the counter-case for purposes of this case is not legally justifiable or proper.

(h) That the conviction is not warranted by the evidence on records and the sentences are unduly severe.

(i) That the learned District Judge although finds in para 4 of his judgment as follows:- "No doubt the prosecution evidence is not very strong as regards their assemblage in the Jangam Mutt" still confirmed the conviction but in modification of the sentence to 3 months imprisonment.

5. That the memorialis's application under Section 435 Cr. P.C., to the Chief Court praying that the proceedings of the Sessions Judge confirming the conviction but modifying the sentence passed by the Sub Division Magistrate may be revised was dismissed on the 28th day of September last.

6. That the memorialist submits that he has not committed any offence.

W H E R E F O R E the memorialist prays that Your Highness may be graciously pleased under the circumstances above mentioned, to acquit him of the charge and release him from the Jail.

The memorialist begs to remain,
Your Highness' most obedient & humble servant,

ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿ ಪಿ.ಸಿ.ನಂ.೭೬

15/10/27

To

H I S H I G H N E S S

Sree Krishnarajendra Wodayar Bahadur, G.C.S.I.,

M A H A R A J A OF M Y S O R E

The humble memorial of Basappadevaru, now in the Mysore Jail undergoing sentence of rigorous imprisdnment.

Most Respectfully showeth:

That the memorialist abovenamed humbly and respectfully begs to submit the following for favourable consideration praying that justice may be done.

1. That the memorialist was convicted and sentenced to rigorous imprisonment and fine under Section 147 I.P.C., by the First Class Sub Division Magistrate of Nanjangud in Criminal Case No. 50 of 15-16.

2. That the case for prosecution is that on 25-11-15 at 4 P.M. that the memorialist and 15 others were stitting and talking at the Jangam Mutt and of those Vurathiah and Chikka Putta assaulted the complainant Mariah of Thottavadi at Putta Nanjappa's house.

3 That the Police investigated the case on 25-1-16 and submitted a B sheet, but the Taluk Magistrate at the request of the complainant took the case on file which was subsequently transferred to the First Class Magistrate of Nanjangud, who tried the case, convicted the memorialist and others in the said case.

4. That the memorialist then appealed to the District

Judge against the said conviction and sentence on the following among other grounds:-

(a) That the prosecution has failed to establish any unlawful common object on the part of the memorialist or the use of force or violence in pursuance of the same.

(b) That the evidence for prosecution is interested, discrepant, unreliable and utterly insufficient to justify the conviction of the memorialist.

(c) That the charge framed against the memorialist is indefinite and defective.

(d) That the present complaint has been filed by way of a counter move to ward off the consequences of the high-handed action which formed the subject matter of the charge cheet presented by the Police against the complainant and his partisans.

(e) That the Lower Court has not determined the individual liability of each of the accused person.

(f) That the nature of the injuries, the failure to produce the alleged weapons, the existence of factious - spirit, the serious variations in the statements of the prosecution witnesses before the Police and the Lower Court and that B sheet submitted by the Police are sufficient to throw considerable doubt on the bona fides of the - complainant.

(g) That the evidence adduced by the defence has been discredited on insufficient grounds and the use of the evidence of the counter case for purposes of this case is not legally justifiable or proper.

(h) That the conviction is not warranted by the

evidence on records and the sentences are unduly severe.

(i) That the learned District Judge although finds in para 4 of his judgment as follows:- "No doubt the prosecution evidence is not very strong as regards their assemblage in the Jungam Mutt" still confirmed the conviction but in modification of the sentence to three months imprisonment.

5. That the memorialist's application under sec. 435 Cr. P.C. to the Chief Court praying that the proceedings of the Sessions Judge confirming the conviction but modifying the sentence passed by the Sub Division Magistrate may be revised, was dismissed on the 28th September last.

6 That the memorialist submits that he has not committed any offence.

W H E R E F O R E the memorialist prays that Your Highness may be graciously pleased under the circumstances abovenamed to acquit him of the charge and release him from the jail.

The memorialist begs to
Remain,
Your Highness' most obedient and
humble servant,

ఉపేట్టదవరము